UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/538,296	06/10/2005	Minehiro Tonosaki	270749US6PCT	3462	
22850 7590 08/25/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.		EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			LEO, LEONARD R		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3744		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/25/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/538,296	TONOSAKI ET A	۸L.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Leonard R. Leo	3744					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Leonard R. Leo</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Steven Chang</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 18 August 2009.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-15</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>None</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>discussed the amendment filed July 29, 2009 would be entered upon filing an appeal, if claims 14-15 were not submitted.</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTIFILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO				
/Leonard R Leo/							